

SHAH01445587 **Test Report** Number:

Applicant: D2B A DIVISION OF REGATTA LTD.

RISOL HOUSE.MERCURY WAY.URMSTON.

MANCHESTER M41 7RR.ENGLAND

Sample Description:

One (1) style of submitted sample said to be :

Item Name SKI GOGGLES Item No. **DUE419** Reference No HB-118 Buyer Dare 2B Goods of Exported to United Kingdom

Country of Origin China.

: HUBO SPORTS PRODUCTS CO.,LIMITED Maufacturer

室 325000

Tests Conducted:

As requested by the applicant, for details refer to attached page(s).

Conclusion: <u>Tested sample</u> Submitted samples

Requirement EN 174: 2001 Personal eye-protection – Ski goggles for downhill skiing

Result

Pass

09 Jun, 2022

Excluding: - Clause 4.2 Materials

See comment

Date:

To be continued

Authorized By:

Intertek Testing Services Ltd. Zhejiang, Wenzhou Branch

Peter Chen General Manager

> Room 8205, 4F, No.827, Wenzhou Avenue, Longwan District, Wenzhou City, Zhejiang Province, Tel: +86 577 8555 6055 www.intertek.com

China. 325000 www.intertek.com.cn 中国浙江省温州市龙湾区温州大道 827 号 4 楼 8205





Tests Conducted

1 Requirements for Ski Goggles

Test standard: EN 174:2001 - Personal Eye-Protection - Ski Goggles for Downhill Skiing

Number of samples tested: Five (5) pairs of complete ski goggles with two (2) pieces of lenses.

Note:

- (1) No parts of the ski goggle which are in contact with wearer shall be made of materials that are known to cause irritation, allergic ore toxic reaction in a normal state of health amongst a significant proportion of users.
- (2) CE marking is not specified in EN 174:2001 but per Regulation (EU) 2016/425, Article 16 & Article 17, the CE marking shall be affixed visibly, legibly and indelibly to the sample frame. The format of this CE marking was given in Annex II of Regulation (EC) No 765/2008.

It was found that the CE marking was not provided on the eye-protectors.

Clause	Requirement	Result
4.1	General requirements	Р
4.2	Materials	See note (1)
4.3	Sit and fit	Р
4.4	Ventilation	Р
5.1	Optical requirements	<u>.</u>
5.1.1	Field of vision	Р
	Lens requirements (See test data)	<u>.</u>
	Optical power	Р
	Transmittance	Р
5.1.2	Variations in luminous transmittance	Р
	Maximum reduced luminance coefficient	Р
	Quality of material and surface	Р
	Resistance to ultraviolet radiation	Р
5.2	Mechanical strength	Р
5.3	Protection against water and snow	Р
5.4	Resistance to ignition	Р
5.5	Suitability for cleaning and care	Р
5.6	Optional specifications	
5.6.1	Resistance to surface damage by fine particles	Р
5.6.2	Resistance to fogging of oculars	Р
5.6.3	Enhanced infrared absorption of oculars	NA (No claim)
7	Information supplied by the manufacturers	P (See note (2))

To be continued





SHAH01445587 **Test Report** Number:

Tests Conducted

Abbreviation: P = Pass; NA = Not Applicable

Test data:

5.1.2 Lens requirements - Optical power:

Optical power	Sample	Left ocular	Right ocular	Optical class
Spherical power (m ⁻¹)	1	-0.04	-0.03	Class 2
Astigmatic power (m ⁻¹)	1	0.03	0.00	01833 2

Prismatic power difference	Sample	Horizontal	Vertical	Base out
(cm/m)	1	0.45	0.04	Class 2

Requirement:

Only original Downson		A - 4: 4:	Prismat	ic power difference	(cm/m)
Optical Class	Spherical Power (m ⁻¹)	Astigmatic power (m ⁻¹)	Horizor	ntal limit	Vertical limit
			Base out	Base in	v Grubar in riic
1	±0.09	0.09	0.75	0.25	0.25
2	±0.12	0.12	1.00	0.25	0.25

Transmittance:

Range	Sample	Left ocular (%)	Right ocular (%)	Filter category
380 - 780nm (τ _v)	2	33.98	33.18	S2

For ultraviolet spectral range:

Range	Sample	Maximum trai	Maximum transmittance (%)		Requirement (%)	
Nango	Gampic	Left ocular	Right ocular	Left	Right	
280 – 315nm (UVB)	2	0.00	0.00	$\leq 0.03 \tau_{v}$ (1.02)	$\leq 0.03 \tau_v$ (1.00)	
315 – 350nm (UVA)	2	0.00	0.00	$\leq 0.3 \ \tau_{v}$ (10.19)	$\leq 0.3 \; \tau_{v}$ (9.95)	
315 – 380nm (τ _{SUVA})	2	0.00	0.00	$\leq 0.3 \ \tau_{v}$ (10.19)	$\leq 0.3 \; \tau_{v}$ (9.95)	

To be continued



Tel: +86 577 8555 6055 www.intertek.com www.intertek.com.cn





Tests Conducted

Requirement:

requirement						
		Ultraviolet sp	Visible spectral range			
Filter category	Maximum value of spectral transmittance τ _(λ)				Range of luminous transmittance (τ _ν)	
	280 nm to 315nm	Over 315nm to 350nm	315nm to 380nm	From over%	To%	
S0				80.0	100	
S1		$0.3 \tau_{v}$.3 τ _ν 0.3 τ _ν	43.0	80.0	
S2	$0.03\;\tau_v$			18.0	43.0	
S3		0.15 τ _ν	0.15 τν	8.0	18.0	
S4		0.13 ty	0.13%	3.0	8.0	

Variations in luminous transmittance

Sample	Variation [relative to h	Difference between filters [relative to lighter filter]	
	Left ocular (%)	Right ocular (%)	[rolative to lighter linter]
2	6.55	1.96	2.34
Requirement (%)	≤	≤ 20	

Maximum reduced luminance coefficient

Sample	Maximum reduced luminance coefficient (cd/m²)/lx		Class	Requirement
	Left ocular	Right ocular		
				Diffusion of light (maximum):
3	0.40	0.38	Class 2	- Class 1: 1.0 (cd/m²)/lx - Class 2: 2.0 (cd/m²)/lx

Resistance to ultraviolet radiation:

	Relative change in the luminous transmittance (%)		Requirement
Sample	Left ocular	Right ocular	- Troquilement
2	-1.5	-0.2	±5% for filters of category S0 ±10% for filters of category S1 ±20% for filters of all other categories

To be continued





Tests Conducted

Sample		Maximum reduced luminance coefficient (cd/m²)/lx Class		Requirement
	Left ocular	Right ocular		
3	0.41	0.36	Class 2	Diffusion of light (maximum): - Class 1: 1.0 (cd/m²)/lx - Class 2: 2.0 (cd/m²)/lx

5.6.1 Resistance to surface damage by fine particles

Sample		uminance coefficient n²)/lx	Class	Requirement
	Left ocular	Right ocular		
7	7.11	5.23	Class 2	Diffusion of light (maximum): - Class 1: 5.0 (cd/m²)/lx - Class 2: 10.0 (cd/m²)/lx

5.6.2 Resistance to fogging of oculars

Time of remain free from fogging (s)	Sample 6 - Left ocular	> 35	Requirement
	Sample 6 - Right ocular	> 35	≥ 30

Date sample received: Mar 23, 2022 & May 26, 2022

Testing period: Mar 24, 2022 To Jun.8, 2022

To be continued



Tel: +86 577 8555 6055 www.intertek.com www.intertek.com.cn



Tests Conducted

2 <u>UV-400</u>

Assessment was made against a level of 100% UV protection, in which the spectral transmittance was examined within a range of 280nm - 400nm to ensure that transmittance value of 0.5% was not exceeded.

Number of samples tested: One (1) pair of ski goggles.

Result:

Range	Maximum spectral transmittance		Requirement (%)
	Left ocular (%)	Right ocular (%)	(70)
280 – 400 nm	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.5

Remark: < = Less Than

Comment: The submitted sample was considered acceptable to make a claim of "UV-400" protection, the criteria of which was mentioned above.

Date sample received: Mar 23, 2022

Testing period: Mar 24, 2022 To Mar 25, 2022

To be continued



Tests Conducted



End of report

This report is made solely on the basis of your instructions and/or information and materials supplied by you. It is not intended to be a recommendation for any particular course of action. Intertek does not accept a duty of care or any other responsibility to any person other than the Client in respect of this report and only accepts liability to the Client insofar as is expressly contained in the terms and conditions governing Intertek's provision of services to you. Intertek makes no warranties or representations either express or implied with respect to this report save as provided for in those terms and conditions. We have aimed to conduct the Review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or wilful misconduct.

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.